Yukawa Institute Kyoto

YITP-96-28
KUNS 1406
August 1996(Revised)

arXiv:gr-qc/9608022v2 27 Sep 1996

Evolution of cosmological perturbations in a stage dominated by an oscillatory scalar field

Hideo Kodama[1]

Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-01, Japan

and

Takashi Hamazaki[2]

Department of Physics, Fuculty of Science, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-01, Japan

Abstract

In the investigation of the evolution of cosmological perturbations in inflationary universe models the behavior of perturbations during the reheating stage is the most unclear point. In particular in the early reheating phase in which a rapidly oscillating scalar field dominates the energy density, the behavior of perturbations is not known well because their evolution equation expressed in terms of the curvature perturbation becomes singular. In this paper it is shown that in spite of this singular behavior of the evolution equation the Bardeen parameter stays constant in a good accuracy during this stage for superhorizon-scale perturbations except for a sequence of negligibly short intervals around the zero points of the time derivative of the scalar field. This justifies the conventional formula relating the amplitudes of quantum fluctuations during inflation and those of adiabatic perturbations at horizon crossing in the Friedmann stage, except for possible corrections produced by the energy transfer from the scalar field to radiation in the late stage of reheating. It is further shown that outside the above sequence of time intervals the behavior of the perturbations coincides in a good accuracy with that for a perfect fluid system obtained from the original scalar field system by the WKB approximation and a spacetime averaging over a Hubble horizon scale.

[1]email address: kodama@yukawa.kyoto-u.ac.jp
[2]email address: hamazaki@murasaki.scphys.kyoto-u.ac.jp

§1 Introduction

In the standard inflationary universe model the seeds of the present large scale structures of the universe are generated from quantum fluctuations of an inflaton field during inflation[1, 2, 3, 4]. Though the amplitude and the spectrum of the seed fluctuations are easily calculated when a model of the inflaton is given, it is not a simple task to determined the amplitude and the spectrum of the corresponding large scale perturbations when they reenter the Hubble horizon because one must trace the evolution of the perturbations from the inflationary stage to a recent time.

Of course it is not so hard to trace the evolution of perturbations during the inflationary stage and the simple radiation-dominated Friedmann stage after inflation. It is because the Bardeen parameter Z, which is defined as a linear combination of the curvature perturbation Φ and the velocity perturbation V, is conserved with a good accuracy for growing modes on superhorizon scales if the equation of state of matter is regular and the entropy perturbation is small[4, 5, 6, 7]. Hence, if the Bardeen parameter is also conserved in the reheating stage which connects the inflationary and the post-Friedmann stage, it is possible to determine the amplitude and the spectrum of the perturbations at horizon crossing just by the values of the Bardeen parameter for the seed fluctuations at the inflationary stage. For example, it is the case in the simplification that the reheating is instantaneous or no large entropy perturbation is produced by reheating processes[5, 6]. Actually in most of the work on the cosmological perturbations in the inflationary scenario this simplification is assumed. However, reheating is a rather complicated stage in realistic models and entropy perturbations are not necessarily negligible. In such situations the conservation of the Bardeen parameter for them is not well established.

For example, in the early stage of reheating, the energy density of the universe is dominated by a rapidly oscillating inflaton field. In this stage, if one neglects the tiny radiation contribution, the equation of state of the inflaton shows an anomalous behavior and the Bardeen parameter diverges periodically when the time derivative of the inflation field vanishes. Accordingly the evolution equation for the Bardeen parameter, or the closely related evolution equation for the curvature perturbation becomes singular periodically[7]. Though this divergence is replaced by periodic large peaks if the contribution of radiation is taken into account and the height of the peaks decreases as the inflaton decays, the coefficients of the evolution equations for Z and Φ still show bad behavior. Hence it is not far from obvious whether the values of the Bardeen parameter before and after the reheating stage coincide with each other or not.

The main purpose of this paper is to show that in spite of this peculiar behavior of the Bardeen parameter its value in the stage dominated by a rapidly oscillating inflaton field actually coincides with that in the preceding inflationary stage except for a sequence of negligibly short intervals around the zero points of the time derivative of the inflaton field, and that the behavior of the curvature perturbation coincides with that for a perfect fluid system obtained by the WKB approximation and a spacetime averaging from the original scalar field system. The main idea is to use the gauge-invariant perturbation variable for the scalar field perturbations introduced by Mukhanov in another context[8], whose evolution equation is completely regular and exactly solvable in the long-wavelength limit.

The paper is organized as follows. First in the next section the exact solutions to the

evolution equation for the Mukhanov variable in the long-wavelength limit is given and their behavior is analyzed from the point of view of the parametric resonance. Further by converting the evolution equation into an integral equation with an iterative structure with respect to the wavenumber, the behavior of the solutions for general long-wavelength perturbations is described. The singular nature of the transformation between the curvature perturbation variable Φ and the Mukhanov variable in the long-wavelength limit is also pointed out. Then in §3 the behavior of the curvature perturbation and the Bardeen parameter is analyzed in detail by solving the iterative integral equation for the Mukhanov variable, first for the massive free scalar field and then for a scalar field with a potential of a generic power-law type. In §4 the results obtained by this analysis is compared with the behavior of perturbations for a perfect fluid system obtained by the WKB approximation and a spacetime averaging from the original scalar field system via a relativistic virial theorem. Section 5 is devoted to summary and discussion.

Throughout the paper the natural units $c = \hbar = 1$ are adopted and $8\pi G$ is denoted as κ^2. Further the notations for the perturbation variables adopted in the article [7] are used and their definitions are sometimes omitted except for those newly defined in this paper

§2 Basic perturbation equations and their general analysis

In this section we derive regular gauge-invariant equations for scalar perturbations of a real scalar field and the gravitational field, and give iterative expressions for their solutions.

In this paper we assume that the scalar field couples the gravitational field minimally and its Lagrangian density is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_\phi = -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-g}\left(g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\phi\partial_\nu\phi + 2U(\phi)\right). \tag{2.1}$$

We will not specify the potential $U(\phi)$ in this section.

Throughout the paper we only consider the case the unperturbed background spacetime is described by a spatially flat Robertson-Walker metric

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + a^2 d\boldsymbol{x}^2. \tag{2.2}$$

Hence the unperturbed background of the scalar field follows the equation

$$\ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi} + U_\phi = 0, \tag{2.3}$$

and the scale factor a is determined by

$$H^2 := \left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 = \frac{\kappa^2}{6}(\dot{\phi}^2 + 2U). \tag{2.4}$$

From these equations the time derivative of H is given by

$$\dot{H} = -\frac{\kappa^2}{2}\dot{\phi}^2. \tag{2.5}$$

Throughout the paper it is understood that all the perturbation variables represent Fourier expansion coefficients of perturbations of the corresponding quantities around this background. For simplicity we omit the wave number suffix k for them.

2.1 (X, Φ)-system

The most important geometrical quantity describing scalar perturbations is given by the gauge-invariant variable Φ defined by

$$\Phi := \mathcal{R} - \frac{aH}{k}\sigma_g, \tag{2.6}$$

where $\mathcal{R}$ and σ_g are the perturbations of the three curvature and the shear of each constant time slice, respectively[9, 7]. This quantity represents the curvature perturbation of the Newtonian slice $\sigma_g = 0$. Therefore a natural gauge-invariant variable constructed from the perturbation $\delta\phi$ of the scalar field is

$$X := \delta\phi - \frac{a}{k}\dot{\phi}\sigma_g. \tag{2.7}$$

From the components $(0,0), (0,j)$ and the traceless part of (j,k) of the perturbed Einstein equations, we obtain the following evolution equations for X and Φ[7]:

$$\dot{X}\dot{\phi} - \ddot{\phi}X + \dot{\phi}^2\Phi = \frac{2}{\kappa^2}\frac{k^2}{a^2}\Phi, \tag{2.8}$$

$$\dot{\Phi} + H\Phi = -\frac{\kappa^2}{2}\dot{\phi}X. \tag{2.9}$$

These equations correspond to the Hamiltonian and the momentum constraints, respectively. The equations obtained from the other components do not give new equations independent from these.

On the other hand the perturbed field equation for ϕ gives[7]

$$\ddot{X} + 3H\dot{X} + \left(\frac{k^2}{a^2} + U_{\phi\phi}\right)X = -4\dot{\phi}\dot{\Phi} + 2U_\phi\Phi. \tag{2.10}$$

This equation is also automatically satisfied under Eqs.(2.8)-(2.9) due to the Bianchi identity if we require smoothness of X and Φ.

By eliminating Φ from Eq.(2.10) with the help of Eqs.(2.8)-(2.9), we obtain a closed 2nd-order equation for X:

$$\ddot{X} + 3H\dot{X} + \left(\frac{k^2}{a^2} + U_{\phi\phi} - 2\kappa^2\dot{\phi}^2\right)X = \frac{2(2H\dot{\phi} + U_\phi)}{\dot{\phi}^2 - \frac{2}{\kappa^2}\frac{k^2}{a^2}}(\ddot{\phi}X - \dot{\phi}\dot{X}). \tag{2.11}$$

Similarly by eliminating X, we obtain an equation for Φ:

$$\left(\frac{1}{a\dot{\phi}^2}(a\Phi)^{\cdot}\right)^{\cdot} + \left(\frac{k^2}{a^2\dot{\phi}^2} - \frac{\kappa^2}{2}\right)\Phi = 0. \tag{2.12}$$

Both of these equations are singular. Hence, though the independent degree of freedom is two in the current system, it is difficult to analyze the behavior of perturbations by a 2nd-order differential equation in terms of X and Φ. In particular this singular nature make it impossible to construct solutions iteratively with respect to k, as we will see later.

In spite of this difficulty the above set of equations has one good point. It is that we can easily solve them in the long-wavelength limit $k = 0$. To see this, let us rescale Φ as

$$u := \frac{a}{H}\Phi. \tag{2.13}$$

Then for $k = 0$, Eqs.(2.8)-(2.9) are written as

$$\left(\frac{X}{\dot{\phi}}\right)^{\cdot} = -\frac{H}{a}u, \tag{2.14}$$

$$\dot{u} - \frac{\kappa^2}{2H}\dot{\phi}^2 u = -\frac{\kappa^2}{2}\frac{a\dot{\phi}}{H}X. \tag{2.15}$$

By eliminating X from these equations we obtain

$$\left(\frac{H}{a\dot{\phi}^2}\dot{u}\right)^{\cdot} = \frac{\kappa^2}{2a}\dot{u}. \tag{2.16}$$

This equation can be easily solved to give

$$u = A - \frac{\kappa^2}{2}B\int_{t_0}\frac{a\dot{\phi}^2}{H^2}dt, \tag{2.17}$$

where A and B are integration constants, and t_0 is some initial time. Hence for $k = 0$ the exact solutions for (X, Φ)-system are given by

$$\Phi = A\frac{H}{a} - B\frac{\kappa^2}{2}\frac{H}{a}\int_{t_0}\frac{a\dot{\phi}^2}{H^2}dt, \tag{2.18}$$

$$X = A\frac{\dot{\phi}}{a} + B\left(\frac{\dot{\phi}}{H} + \frac{\dot{\phi}}{a}\int_{t_0}\frac{a\dot{\phi}^2}{H^2}dt\right). \tag{2.19}$$

These solutions are completely regular and will play an important role in the following analysis.

With the help of these solutions and the Green function method we can transform the 2nd-order equation for Φ above to the following iterative integral equation:

$$\Phi = AU_1 + BU_2 + 2k^2U_1\int_{t_0}\frac{U_2}{a^2\dot{\phi}^2}\Phi dt - 2k^2U_2\int_{t_0}\frac{U_1}{a^2\dot{\phi}^2}\Phi dt, \tag{2.20}$$

where

$$U_1 := \frac{H}{a}, \tag{2.21}$$

$$U_2 := \frac{H}{a}\int_{t_0}\frac{a\dot{\phi}^2}{H^2}dt = \frac{2}{\kappa^2}\left(1 - \frac{H}{a}\int_{t_0}adt\right). \tag{2.22}$$

It is clear that this integral equation yields an expression which is singular at $\dot{\phi} = 0$ when one tries to solve it iteratively. Hence the system (X, Φ) is not appropriate for investigating the long-wavelength behavior of the perturbations as commented above.

2.2 Y-system

The above difficulty in the (X, Φ)-system is resolved if we use a new gauge-invariant variable introduced by Mukhanov[8, 10]. It is defined by

$$Y := X - \frac{\dot{\phi}}{H}\Phi. \tag{2.23}$$

Since it is expressed as

$$Y = \delta\phi - \frac{\dot{\phi}}{H}\mathcal{R}, \tag{2.24}$$

it represents the perturbation amplitude of the scalar field on the flat slices $\delta\mathcal{R} = 0$.

This quantity has also a close relation to the Bardeen parameter[4] defined by

$$\zeta := \left(1 + \frac{2}{9}\frac{k^2}{(1+w)H^2a^2}\right)\Phi - \frac{aH}{k}V, \tag{2.25}$$

where $w = P/\rho$, and V is a gauge-invariant quantity representing the velocity perturbation in the isotropic gauge. This gauge-invariant quantity represents the curvature perturbation of uniform-Hubble slices[9] and is conserved approximately for superhorizon perturbations if $1 + w$ is a positive quantity of order unity. In this paper instead of this original Bardeen parameter we use the gauge-invariant variable defined by

$$Z := \Phi - \frac{aH}{k}V, \tag{2.26}$$

and refer it as the Bardeen parameter because it coincides with the original one for superhorizon perturbations under the same condition on $1 + w$ as above, and shares the same good property[7].

Further it is more suitable for the analysis of the problem we are concerned. In particular in the present case in which matter consists only of the scalar field V is expressed in terms of X as[7]

$$V = \frac{k}{a\dot{\phi}}X. \tag{2.27}$$

Hence Z is simply written in terms of Y as

$$Z = -\frac{H}{\dot{\phi}}Y. \tag{2.28}$$

By a simple calculation it can be shown that Eqs.(2.8)-(2.9) leads to the regular closed 2nd-order differential equation of Y,

$$\ddot{Y} + 3H\dot{Y} + \left(\frac{k^2}{a^2} + U_{\phi\phi} + 3\kappa^2\dot{\phi}^2 - \frac{\kappa^4}{2H^2}\dot{\phi}^4 + 2\kappa^2\frac{\dot{\phi}}{H}U_\phi\right)Y = 0. \tag{2.29}$$

This equation is equivalent to the original system (2.8) and (2.9) for $k \neq 0$ because by the inverse transform from Y to Φ and X given by

$$\Phi = \frac{\kappa^2}{2}\frac{a^2H}{k^2}\left[\frac{\dot{\phi}}{H}\dot{Y} - \left(\frac{\dot{\phi}}{H}\right)^{\cdot}Y\right], \tag{2.30}$$

$$X = Y + \frac{\dot{\phi}}{H}\Phi \tag{2.31}$$

we can recover the original equations for X and Φ.

In the limit case $k = 0$, however, this inverse transform is singular. Hence if we take the limit by keeping Φ finite, we obtain the additional constraint on Y given by

$$\dot{\phi}\dot{Y} - H\left(\frac{\dot{\phi}}{H}\right)^{\cdot} Y = 0. \tag{2.32}$$

Due to this the exact solutions (2.18) and (2.19) for the X and Φ in the limit $k = 0$ reduce to the single solution for Y,

$$Y = B\frac{\dot{\phi}}{H}. \tag{2.33}$$

It is easily confirmed by a direct calculation that this satisfies Eq.(2.29) for $k = 0$. Of course all the solutions for $k = 0$ can be constructed from this special solution with the help of the standard procedure as

$$Y = AV_1 + BV_2; \tag{2.34}$$

$$V_1 := \frac{\dot{\phi}}{H}, \tag{2.35}$$

$$V_2 := \frac{\dot{\phi}}{H}\int_{t_0} \frac{H^2}{a^3\dot{\phi}^2}dt. \tag{2.36}$$

The new independent solution V_2 is apparently singular at $\dot{\phi} = 0$. However, it is completely regular actually as is expected from the regularity of the original equation for Y. To be more precise, it has a finite limit at $\dot{\phi} = 0$ and can be uniquely extended across that point smoothly. In order to find an explicit expression for this extended solution V_2, let us denote the sequence of time at which $\dot{\phi} = 0$ by τ_j, and examine the behavior of V_2 around $t = \tau_j$.

If we take a sequence of time t_j so that $\tau_{j-1} < t_j < \tau_j$, then V_2 should be expressed in each interval $\tau_{j-1} < t < \tau_j$ as

$$V_2 = A_jV_1 + B_j\frac{\dot{\phi}}{H}\int_{t_j} \frac{H^2}{a^3\dot{\phi}^2}dt, \tag{2.37}$$

where $A_0 = 0$ and $B_0 = 1$. In order to find the recurrence relations for A_j and B_j, let us expand ϕ at $t = \tau_j$ as

$$\phi = \phi_j + \frac{1}{2}\ddot{\phi}_j(t-\tau_j)^2 + \frac{1}{6}\dddot{\phi}_j(t-\tau_j)^3 + \cdots, \tag{2.38}$$

where the suffix j represents the value at $t = \tau_j$. Inserting this expansion into Eq.(2.3) and Eq.(2.4), we obtain

$$\ddot{\phi}_j = -U_{\phi j}, \quad \dddot{\phi}_j = -3H_j\ddot{\phi}_j, \tag{2.39}$$

$$H = H_j\left[1 + \mathrm{O}\Big((t-\tau_j)^3\Big)\right], \tag{2.40}$$

$$a = a_j\left[1 + H_j(t-\tau_j) + \mathrm{O}\Big((t-\tau_j)^2\Big)\right]. \tag{2.41}$$

Hence the integrand of V_2 is expressed as

$$\frac{H^2}{\dot{\phi}^2 a^3} = \frac{H_j^2}{\ddot{\phi}_j^2 a_j^3}\left[\frac{1}{(t-\tau_j)^2} + \mathrm{O}(1)\right]. \tag{2.42}$$

From this it follows that in the interval $\tau_{j-1} < t < \tau_j$ the integration in Eq.(2.37) is written as

$$\int_{t_j} \frac{H^2}{\dot{\phi}^2 a^3} dt = \int_{t_j} \left[\frac{H^2}{\dot{\phi}^2 a^3} - \frac{H_j^2}{\ddot{\phi}_j^2 a_j^3}\frac{1}{(t-\tau_j)^2}\right] dt + \frac{H_j^2}{\ddot{\phi}_j^2 a_j^3}\left(\frac{1}{\tau_j - t} - \frac{1}{\tau_j - t_j}\right), \tag{2.43}$$

and in the interval $\tau_j < t < \tau_{j+1}$ as

$$\int_{t_{j+1}} \frac{H^2}{\dot{\phi}^2 a^3} dt = \int_{t_{j+1}} \left[\frac{H^2}{\dot{\phi}^2 a^3} - \frac{H_j^2}{\ddot{\phi}_j^2 a_j^3}\frac{1}{(t-\tau_j)^2}\right] dt + \frac{H_j^2}{\ddot{\phi}_j^2 a_j^3}\left(\frac{1}{\tau_j - t} - \frac{1}{\tau_j - t_{j+1}}\right). \tag{2.44}$$

Since the integrands in the right-hand sides of these equations are regular at $t = \tau_j$, V_2 has the finite limits at $t = \tau_j \pm 0$ given by

$$V_2(\tau_j - 0) = -B_j \frac{H_j}{\ddot{\phi}_j a_j^3}, \tag{2.45}$$

$$V_2(\tau_j + 0) = -B_{j+1} \frac{H_j}{\ddot{\phi}_j a_j^3}. \tag{2.46}$$

Hence from the continuity of V_2 at $t = \tau_j$ we obtain

$$B_j = B_0 = 1. \tag{2.47}$$

Similarly $\dot{V}_2$ also has the finite limits given by

$$\dot{V}_2(\tau_j - 0) = A_j \frac{\dddot{\phi}_j}{H_j} + \frac{H_j}{\ddot{\phi}_j a_j^3}\left(\frac{3}{2}H_j - \frac{1}{\tau_j - t_j}\right) + \frac{\dddot{\phi}_j}{H_j}\int_{t_j}^{\tau_j}\left[\frac{H^2}{\dot{\phi}^2 a^3} - \frac{H_j^2}{\ddot{\phi}_j^2 a_j^3}\frac{1}{(t-\tau_j)^2}\right] dt, \tag{2.48}$$

$$\dot{V}_2(\tau_j + 0) = A_{j+1} \frac{\dddot{\phi}_j}{H_j} + \frac{H_j}{\ddot{\phi}_j a_j^3}\left(\frac{3}{2}H_j - \frac{1}{\tau_j - t_{j+1}}\right) + \frac{\dddot{\phi}_j}{H_j}\int_{t_{j+1}}^{\tau_j}\left[\frac{H^2}{\dot{\phi}^2 a^3} - \frac{H_j^2}{\ddot{\phi}_j^2 a_j^3}\frac{1}{(t-\tau_j)^2}\right] dt. \tag{2.49}$$

Hence the continuity of $\dot{V}_2$ gives the recurrence relation

$$A_{j+1} - A_j = \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}}\left[\frac{H^2}{\dot{\phi}^2 a^3} - \frac{H_j^2}{\ddot{\phi}_j^2 a_j^3}\frac{1}{(t-\tau_j)^2}\right] dt - \frac{H_j^2}{a_j^3 \ddot{\phi}_j^2}\left(\frac{1}{\tau_j - t_j} + \frac{1}{t_{j+1} - \tau_j}\right). \tag{2.50}$$

In the next section we will show that we can take t_j so that it is near the zero points of ϕ and $A_j = 0$.

This argument shows that V_2 takes a definite value independent of t_0 at $t = \tau_j$,

$$V_2(\tau_j) = -\frac{H(\tau_j)}{a(\tau_j)^3 \ddot{\phi}(\tau_j)}, \tag{2.51}$$

which changes its sign alternatively with j. We will show in the next section that it approximately gives the amplitude of V_2 at each interval and its absolute value decreases monotonically with j. Hence V_2 is a decaying mode. On the other hand from Eq.(2.4) the amplitude of V_1 stays nearly constant. This behavior should be contrasted with that of ϕ whose amplitude decreases monotonically in the rapidly oscillating phase, and can be understood as a result of the parametric resonance.

For example, for the case $U = m^2\phi^2/2$, the equation for Y is written as

$$(a^{3/2}Y)^{\cdot\cdot} + \left[m^2 + \frac{4m}{t}\sin 2m(t-t_0)\right](a^{3/2}Y) \simeq 0, \tag{2.52}$$

under the condition $(k^2/a^2)/mH \ll 1$ and $mt \gg 1$(see Eq.(3.2)). This equation satisfies the condition for the parametric resonance to occur. Since it can be put into the integral equation

$$\begin{aligned} a^{3/2}Y = {} & A\cos m(t-t_0) + B\sin m(t-t_0) \\ & + \cos m(t-t_0)\int_{t_0} dt \sin m(t-t_0)\frac{4}{t}\sin 2m(t-t_0)a^{3/2}Y \\ & - \sin m(t-t_0)\int_{t_0} dt \cos m(t-t_0)\frac{4}{t}\sin 2m(t-t_0)a^{3/2}Y, \end{aligned} \tag{2.53}$$

the amplitude of the solution corresponding to $A = 1$ and $B = 0$ is multiplied every each period by

$$1 + \int_{t_0}^{t_0+\Delta t} dt\frac{2}{t}\sin^2 2m(t-t_0) \simeq 1 + \frac{\Delta t}{t}, \tag{2.54}$$

where Δt is the period of oscillation. Hence after a long time $a^{3/2}Y$ behaves as

$$a^{3/2}Y \simeq e^{\int_{t_0}\frac{dt}{t}}\cos m(t-t_0) = \frac{t}{t_0}\cos m(t-t_0). \tag{2.55}$$

Similarly for the solution corresponding to $A = 0$ and $B = 1$ the amplitude is multiplied by $1 - \Delta t/t$ every each period and behaves as

$$a^{3/2}Y \simeq e^{-\int_{t_0}\frac{dt}{t}}\cos m(t-t_0) = \frac{t_0}{t}\cos m(t-t_0). \tag{2.56}$$

It is easy to see that this behavior coincides with that of V_1 and V_2 approximately.

On the other hand Eq.(2.3) is written as

$$(a^{3/2}\phi)^{\cdot\cdot} + \left[m^2 + \mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{t^2}\right)\right](a^{3/2}\phi) = 0. \tag{2.57}$$

In this case, since $\int_{t_0}^{\infty} dt/(mt^2)$ converges to a finite quantity of order $1/(mt_0)$, no parametric resonance occurs for $mt_0 \gtrsim 1$.

Here note that the amplitude of Y increases in proportion to a power of time by the effect of parametric resonance in contrast to the Mathieu function in which the amplitude grows exponentially. This feature is common to the parametric resonance of coupled oscillating fields on expanding universes whose scale factor increases in proportion to a power of time as the above argument shows(cf. Ref.[11]).

2.3 Iterative solutions

When the wave number k is small, we can convert the differential equation (2.29) into an iterative integral equation with the help of the solutions V_1 and V_2 for $k = 0$ and the Green function method:

$$\begin{aligned} Y &= AV_1 + BV_2 + k^2 V_1 \int_{t_0} aV_2 Y\, dt - k^2 V_2 \int_{t_0} aV_1 Y\, dt \\ &= AV_1 + BV_2 + k^2 G \circ (aY). \end{aligned} \tag{2.58}$$

From this equation and Eq.(2.30) Φ is expressed as

$$\Phi = \frac{\kappa^2}{2}\frac{H}{ak^2} W(V_1, Y), \tag{2.59}$$

where W is the Wronskian of Eq.(2.29) given by

$$W(u, v) := a^3(u\dot{v} - v\dot{u}). \tag{2.60}$$

Let Y_1 and Y_2 be the solutions to the above integral equation for $(A, B) = (1, 0)$ and $(A, B) = (0, 1)$, respectively, and Φ_1 and Φ_2 be the corresponding solutions for Φ. Then since

$$W(Y_1, Y_2) = W(V_1, V_2) = 1, \tag{2.61}$$

from Eq.(2.59) Φ_1 and Φ_2 are written as

$$\Phi_1 = -\frac{\kappa^2}{2}\frac{H}{a}\int_{t_0} aV_1 Y_1 dt, \tag{2.62}$$

$$\Phi_2 = \frac{\kappa^2}{2}\frac{H}{ak^2}\left(1 - k^2 \int_{t_0} aV_1 Y_2 dt\right). \tag{2.63}$$

We will show in the next section that $Y_1 \simeq V_1$ and $Y_2 \simeq V_2$, and the second term on the right-hand side of Eq.(2.63) is much smaller than unity for superhorizon scale perturbations. From this it follows that

$$\Phi_1 \simeq \text{const}, \tag{2.64}$$

$$\Phi_2 \simeq \frac{\kappa^2}{2}\frac{H}{ak^2}. \tag{2.65}$$

Hence in spite of the singularity of the transformation (2.30) and (2.31) the solutions (2.18) and (2.19) for the (X, Φ)-system with $k = 0$ yield a good approximation for the behavior of superhorizon scale perturbations if we give appropriate k-dependences to the constants A and B.

§3 Behavior of the curvature perturbation and the Bardeen parameter

In this section we solve the integral equation for Y given in the previous section and analyze the behavior of the curvature perturbation and the Bardeen parameter for superhorizon perturbations in the oscillatory phase of the scalar field. First we look at the case U is proportional to ϕ^2 in detail and then extend the analysis to the generic case $U \propto |\phi|^n$.

3.1 $U = m^2\phi^2/2$ case

In the case in which the potential of the scalar field is given by

$$U = \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2, \tag{3.1}$$

Eq.(2.3) is rewritten as

$$(a^{3/2}\phi)^{\cdot\cdot} + \left[m^2 + \frac{3}{2}\left(\dot{H} + \frac{3}{2}H^2\right)\right](a^{3/2}\phi) = 0. \tag{3.2}$$

From Eqs.(2.4) and (2.5) the solution to this equation is estimated as

$$\begin{aligned} a^{3/2}\phi &= \sigma \sin m(t-t_0) + \frac{3}{2m}\sin mt \int_{t_0}\left(\dot{H} + \frac{3}{2}H^2\right)\cos mt\, a^{3/2}\phi dt \\ &\quad -\frac{3}{2m}\cos mt \int_{t_0}\left(\dot{H} + \frac{3}{2}H^2\right)\sin mt\, a^{3/2}\phi dt \\ &= \sigma\left[\sin m(t-t_0) - \frac{1}{4m}\left(\frac{1}{t_0} - \frac{1}{t}\right)\cos m(t-t_0) + \mathrm{O}\left(\epsilon^2\right)\right], \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

where

$$\epsilon := \frac{H}{m}. \tag{3.4}$$

Here note that in the oscillatory phase of ϕ, $\epsilon \ll 1$. Similarly $\dot{\phi}$ is estimated as

$$a^{3/2}\dot{\phi} = m\sigma\left[\cos m(t-t_0) + \frac{1}{4m}\left(\frac{1}{t_0} - \frac{5}{t}\right)\sin m(t-t_0) + \mathrm{O}\left(\epsilon^2\right)\right]. \tag{3.5}$$

By inserting these expressions into Eq.(2.4) and solving it we obtain

$$H = \frac{2}{3t}\left[1 - \frac{1}{2mt}\sin 2m(t-t_0) + \mathrm{O}\left(\epsilon^2\right)\right], \tag{3.6}$$

$$a^3 = \frac{3}{8}\kappa^2\sigma^2(mt)^2\left[1 + \mathrm{O}\left(\epsilon^2\right)\right]. \tag{3.7}$$

Next we determine the explicit behavior of V_1 and V_2 with the help of these equations. Since we need an estimate for $a^3\dot{\phi}^2/H^2$ which is correct up to the order $(t-\tau_j)^3$ at each interval $t_j < t < t_{j+1}$, we first estimate its second derivative and then integrate it.

Let us denote the sequence of the zero points of $\dot{\phi}$ by τ_j and take another sequence of time, t_j, as in the previous section. Then from Eq.(3.5) the quantity ξ_j defined by

$$\xi_j := m(\tau_j - t_0), \tag{3.8}$$

satisfies the equation

$$\cos\xi_j = \left(\frac{5}{4m\tau_j} - \frac{1}{4mt_0}\right)\sin\xi_j + \mathrm{O}\left(\epsilon_j^2\right), \tag{3.9}$$

where $\epsilon_j = \epsilon(\tau_j)$. This gives the estimate

$$\sin\xi_j = (-1)^j + \mathrm{O}\left(\epsilon_0^2\right). \tag{3.10}$$

Hence in the interval $t_j < t < t_{j+1}$, $(a^{3/2}\dot{\phi}/H)^{\cdot\cdot}$ is estimated as

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\tfrac{a^{3/2}\dot{\phi}}{H}\right)^{\cdot\cdot} &= -\frac{m^2}{H}a^{3/2}\dot{\phi}\left[1 + 2\kappa^2\frac{\phi\dot{\phi}}{H} + \frac{15}{4}\kappa^2\frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{m^2} - \frac{9}{4}\frac{H^2}{m^2} - \frac{\kappa^4}{2}\frac{\dot{\phi}^4}{m^2H^2}\right] \\ &= (-1)^j\frac{3}{2}\sigma m^2 C_j\left[m\tau_j\sin x + x\sin x + \frac{9}{4}(\cos 3x - \cos x) + \mathrm{O}(x\epsilon_0)\right], \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

where

$$x := m(t - \tau_j), \tag{3.12}$$

and C_j is a constant depending on j such that

$$C_j = 1 + \mathrm{O}\left(\epsilon_0^2\right), \tag{3.13}$$

which is related to the value of $(a^{3/2}\dot{\phi}/H)^{\cdot}$ at $t = \tau_j$ by

$$\left(\frac{a^{3/2}\dot{\phi}}{H}\right)^{\cdot}(\tau_j) = \left(\frac{a^{3/2}m^2\phi}{H}\right)(\tau_j) = (-1)^{j+1}\frac{3}{2}m^2\sigma\tau_j C_j. \tag{3.14}$$

The integration of this equation gives the following estimate for the integrand in Eq.(2.36):

$$\frac{a^{3/2}\dot{\phi}}{H} = (-1)^{j+1}\frac{3}{2}\sigma C_j\sin x\left[m\tau_j + f(x) + \mathrm{O}\left(x^2\epsilon_0\right)\right], \tag{3.15}$$

where

$$f(x) := x - \frac{1}{2}\sin 2x. \tag{3.16}$$

Inserting these expressions into the definitions of V_1 and V_2, and using the recurrence relation (2.50), we obtain

$$V_1 = (-1)^{j+1}\frac{3\sigma}{2a^{3/2}}\left[m\tau_j + f(x) + \mathrm{O}(\epsilon_0)\right]\sin x, \tag{3.17}$$

$$V_2 = (-1)^j\frac{2}{3m\sigma a^{3/2}}\frac{1}{m\tau_j}\left(1 + \frac{f(x)}{m\tau_j}\right)\left[\left(1 - \frac{2x}{m\tau_j}\right)\cos x + \mathrm{O}\left(\epsilon_0^2\right)\right]. \tag{3.18}$$

From Eq.(3.9) $\sin x$ and $\cos x$ are written in terms of $t - t_0$ as

$$(-1)^{j+1} \sin x = \cos m(t-t_0) - \left(\frac{5}{4mt} - \frac{1}{4mt_0}\right) \sin m(t-t_0) + \mathrm{O}\left(\epsilon_0^2\right), \quad (3.19)$$

$$(-1)^{j} \cos x = \sin m(t-t_0) + \left(\frac{5}{4mt} - \frac{1}{4mt_0}\right) \cos m(t-t_0) + \mathrm{O}\left(\epsilon_0^2\right). \quad (3.20)$$

Hence Eqs.(3.17)-(3.18) are written as

$$V_1 = \frac{\sqrt{6}}{\kappa}\left[\cos m(t-t_0) + \left(\frac{1}{4mt_0} - \frac{1}{mt}\right)\sin m(t-t_0) + \frac{1}{4mt}\sin 3m(t-t_0) + \mathrm{O}\left(\epsilon_0^2\right)\right] \quad (3.21)$$

$$V_2 = \frac{4\sqrt{2}}{3\sqrt{3}m\kappa\sigma^2}\frac{1}{(mt)^2}\left[\sin m(t-t_0) + \left(\frac{3}{2mt} - \frac{1}{4mt_0}\right)\cos m(t-t_0) - \frac{1}{4mt}\cos 3m(t-t_0) + \mathrm{O}\left(\epsilon_0^2\right)\right]. \quad (3.22)$$

These expressions show that in the oscillatory phase we can take t_j so that

$$\sin m(t_j - t_0) = \mathrm{O}(\epsilon_0), \quad (3.23)$$

$$V_2(t_j) = 0. \quad (3.24)$$

They also show that V_2 is approximately in proportion to ϕ/t, and takes its local minima and maxima at around $t = \tau_j$. Further the amplitudes of V_1 and V_2 are estimated as

$$V_1 = \mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{\kappa}\right), \quad (3.25)$$

$$V_2 = \mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{\kappa m^3 \sigma^2 t^2}\right). \quad (3.26)$$

Hence V_2 is a decaying mode.

With the help of these estimations we can easily estimate the behavior of Y in the case in which k is small but nonzero by solving the integral equation (2.58) iteratively. First integrals appearing in the first-order iteration are calculated as

$$\int_{t_0} aV_1^2 dt = \frac{27\sigma^2}{40a_0^2 m}(mt_0)^{4/3}(mt)^{5/3}\left[1 - \left(\frac{t_0}{t}\right)^{5/3} + \frac{5}{6mt}\sin 2m(t-t_0) + \mathrm{O}\left(\epsilon_0^2\right)\right], \quad (3.27)$$

$$\int_{t_0} aV_1V_2 dt = \frac{7}{16a_0^2m^2}\left[1 + \mathrm{O}(\epsilon_0) - \left(\frac{t_0}{t}\right)^{4/3}\left\{1 - \frac{8}{7}\sin^2 m(t-t_0)\right\}\right], \quad (3.28)$$

$$\int_{t_0} aV_2^2 dt = \frac{2}{21a_0^2m^4\sigma^2 t_0}\left[1 + \mathrm{O}\left(\epsilon_0^2\right) - \left(\frac{t_0}{t}\right)^{7/3}\left\{1 + \frac{7}{6mt}\sin 2m(t-t_0)\right\}\right]. \quad (3.29)$$

Hence in the second order in k, Y_1 and Y_2 are given by

$$Y_1(t) = V_1(t)\left[1 + \frac{7}{16}\frac{\epsilon_0^2}{l_0^2}\left\{1 + \mathrm{O}(\epsilon_0) - \left(\frac{t_0}{t}\right)^{4/3}\left(1 - \frac{8}{7}\sin^2 m(t-t_0)\right)\right\}\right]$$

$$-\frac{3\sigma mt}{10a^{3/2}}\frac{\epsilon_0}{l_0^2}\left(\frac{t_0}{t}\right)^{1/3}\left[1-\left(\frac{t_0}{t}\right)^{5/3}+\mathrm{O}(\epsilon_0)\right]\sin m(t-t_0)+\mathrm{O}\left(k^4\right), \quad (3.30)$$

$$Y_2(t) = V_2(t)\left[1-\frac{7}{16}\frac{\epsilon_0^2}{l_0^2}\left\{1+\mathrm{O}(\epsilon_0)-\left(\frac{t_0}{t}\right)^{4/3}\left(1-\frac{8}{7}\sin^2 m(t-t_0)\right)\right\}\right],$$
$$+\frac{4}{63}\frac{\epsilon_0}{l_0^2}\frac{1}{m\sigma^2(mt_0)^2}V_1(t)\left[1-\left(\frac{t_0}{t}\right)^{7/3}+\mathrm{O}(\epsilon_0)\right]+\mathrm{O}\left(k^4\right), \quad (3.31)$$

where l denotes the ratio of the perturbation wavelength and the Hubble horizon radius,

$$l := \frac{aH}{k}. \quad (3.32)$$

In order to obtain the estimate in full order in k, we use an inequality obtained from Eq.(2.58) instead of the iterative power series. Let us consider the integral equation

$$y = v + k^2 G \circ y. \quad (3.33)$$

If we introduce $|y|_m(t)$ and $|v|_m(t)$ defined by

$$|y|_m(t) := \sup_{t_0\le t'\le t}|y(t')|, \quad (3.34)$$

$$|v|_m(t) := \sup_{t_0\le t'\le t}|v(t')|, \quad (3.35)$$

the integral equation yields the inequality

$$|y(t)| \le |v|_m(t) + k^2\left[|V_2|\int_{t_0} a|V_1|dt + |V_1|\int_{t_0} a|V_2|dt\right]|y|_m(t). \quad (3.36)$$

Since the integrals in the right-hand side of this equations satisfy the inequalities

$$\int_{t_0} a|V_1|dt \le \frac{9\sigma}{10a_0^{1/2}m}(mt_0)^{1/3}(mt)^{5/3}\left[1+\mathrm{O}(\epsilon_0)\right], \quad (3.37)$$

$$\int_{t_0} a|V_2|dt \le \frac{2}{a_0^{1/2}m^2\sigma}\frac{1}{mt_0}\left[1+\mathrm{O}(\epsilon_0)\right] \quad (3.38)$$

from Eqs.(3.21)-(3.22), we obtain

$$|y(t)| \le |v|_m(t) + \frac{8}{3}\frac{\epsilon_0}{l_0^2}|y|_m(t). \quad (3.39)$$

Since $|v|_m(t)$ and $|y|_m(t)$ are nondecreasing functions, we can replace $|y(t)|$ by $|y|_m(t)$ in this inequality. Hence we obtain the estimate

$$|y(t)| \le |y|_m(t) \le \frac{|v|_m(t)}{1-3\epsilon_0 l_0^{-2}}. \quad (3.40)$$

Now let us apply this inequality to Y_1 and Y_2. First we rewrite the integral equation for Y_1,

$$Y_1 = V_1 + k^2 G \circ Y_1, \quad (3.41)$$

as

$$Y_1 - V_1 = k^2 G \circ V_1 + k^2 G \circ (Y_1 - V_1), \tag{3.42}$$

and apply the inequality (3.40) by putting $y = Y_1 - V_1$ and $v = k^2 G \circ V_1$. Then since the first-order estimate Eq.(3.30) gives

$$k^2 |G \circ V_1| \leq \frac{\sqrt{6}}{5\kappa} \frac{\epsilon_0}{l_0^2} \left[1 + \mathrm{O}(\epsilon_0)\right], \tag{3.43}$$

we obtain the inequality

$$|Y_1 - V_1| \leq \frac{\sqrt{6}}{5\kappa} \frac{\epsilon_0 l_0^{-2} [1 + \mathrm{O}(\epsilon_0)]}{1 - 3\epsilon_0 l_0^{-2}}. \tag{3.44}$$

Similarly from

$$k^2 |G \circ V_2| \leq \frac{2}{21} \frac{\epsilon_0}{l_0^2} \frac{1}{a_0^{3/2} m^2 \sigma t_0} \left[1 + \mathrm{O}(\epsilon_0)\right]. \tag{3.45}$$

the deviation of Y_2 from V_2 is bounded as

$$|Y_2 - V_2| \leq \frac{2}{21} \frac{1}{a_0^{3/2} m^2 \sigma t_0} \frac{\epsilon_0 l_0^{-2} [1 + \mathrm{O}(\epsilon_0)]}{1 - 3\epsilon_0 l_0^{-2}}. \tag{3.46}$$

With the help of these estimate we can easily determine the behavior of the curvature perturbation and the Bardeen parameter. First the curvature perturbations Φ_1 and Φ_2 corresponding to Y_1 and Y_2 are given by

$$\Phi_1 = \frac{3}{5} \left[1 - \left(\frac{t_0}{t}\right)^{5/3} + \mathrm{O}(\epsilon_0) + \mathrm{O}\left(\frac{\epsilon_0}{l_0^2}\right)\right], \tag{3.47}$$

$$\Phi_2 = \frac{\kappa^2}{2} \frac{H}{ak^2} \left[1 + \mathrm{O}\left(\frac{\epsilon_0^2}{l_0^2}\right)\right] + \mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2 m} \frac{\epsilon_0^3}{l_0^2}\right). \tag{3.48}$$

Hence Φ_2 decreases in proportion to $t^{-5/3}$ while Φ_1 approaches -3/5 for $t \gg t_0$.

On the other hand the Bardeen parameter is simply related to Y as $Z = -HY/\dot{\phi}$. Hence it oscillatorilly diverges. However, its value is well-controlled and stays almost constant except for very small intervals around $t = \tau_j$ in the situation corresponding to the reheating phase after inflation.

The inflationary phase, during which $\dot{\phi}$ is very small, terminates when $\epsilon = H/m$ becomes smaller than unity and the scalar field begins to oscillate. Since Z stays constant during the inflationary stage[4], and since $|\Phi/Z|$ is of order $\dot{\phi}^2/\rho$ and is much smaller than unity at the end of the slow-rolling phase[7], the behavior of the perturbations in the oscillatory phase after the inflation is well described by the solution Y with the initial condition $\Phi(\tau_0) = 0$ and $Z(\tau_0) = Z_0$, where Z_0 is the value of Z during the inflationary stage. From Eq.(2.28) and Eq.(2.30) this initial condition is expressed in terms of Y as

$$Y(\tau_0) = 0, \quad \dot{Y}(\tau_0) = -\frac{\ddot{\phi}_0}{H_0} Z_0, \tag{3.49}$$

where the suffix 0 denotes the values at $t = \tau_0$.

If we write Y as the linear combination of the fundamental solutions Y_1 and Y_2 in the form

$$Y = AY_1 + BY_2, \tag{3.50}$$

the constant A is expressed in terms of Z_0 as

$$A = -W(Y_2, Y) = \frac{a_0^3\ddot{\phi}_0}{H_0} Z_0 Y_2(\tau_0), \tag{3.51}$$

since $W(Y_1, Y_2) = W(V_1, V_2) = 1$. From Eq.(2.51) and Eq.(3.46) $Y_2(\tau_0)$ is given by

$$Y_2(\tau_0) = -\frac{H_0}{a_0^3\ddot{\phi}_0} + \mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{a_0^{3/2}\sigma m}\frac{\epsilon_0^2}{l_0^2}\right). \tag{3.52}$$

Hence we obtain

$$A = -\left[1 + \mathrm{O}\left(\frac{\epsilon_0}{l_0^2}\right)\right] Z_0. \tag{3.53}$$

Similarly from

$$B = W(Y_1, Y) = -\frac{a_0^3\ddot{\phi}_0}{H_0} Z_0 Y_1(\tau_0), \tag{3.54}$$

$$Y_1(\tau_0) = \mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{\kappa}\frac{\epsilon_0}{l_0^2}\right), \tag{3.55}$$

we obtain

$$B = \mathrm{O}\left(\frac{\sigma^2 m^2}{H_0 l_0^2}\right) Z_0. \tag{3.56}$$

Hence from Eqs.(3.47)-(3.48) the behavior of Φ is determined as

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(t) &= A\Phi_1(t) + B\Phi_2(t) \\ &= \frac{3}{5} Z_0 \left[1 - \left(\frac{t_0}{t}\right)^{5/3} + \mathrm{O}(\epsilon_0) + \mathrm{O}\left(\frac{\epsilon_0}{l_0^2}\right)\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.57}$$

Thus Φ stays constant for $t \gg t_0$.

On the other hand, in the interval $t_j < t < t_{j+1}$, the Bardeen parameter $Z = -HY/\dot{\phi}$ is given by

$$Z(t) = Z_0 \left[1 + \mathrm{O}\left(\frac{\epsilon_0}{l_0^2}\right) + \mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{\sin m(t-\tau_j)}\frac{\epsilon_0}{l_0^2}\left(\frac{t_0}{t}\right)^{1/3}\right)\right]. \tag{3.58}$$

Hence at least except for the small intervals $m|t-\tau_j| \leq 1/l_0$, Z coincides with the constant Z_0 with the accuracy $\mathrm{O}(\epsilon_0/l_0)$, which is of order 10^{-20} for perturbations relevant to the present large scale structures.

3.2 $U = \lambda|\phi|^n/n$ case

Let us extend the analysis in the previous subsection to a more general case in which the potential is given by

$$U = \frac{\lambda}{n}|\phi|^n, \tag{3.59}$$

where n is a positive constant equal to or greater than 2.

First note that from the equations for the background quantities

$$\ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi} + \lambda|\phi|^n/\phi = 0, \tag{3.60}$$

$$H^2 = \frac{\kappa^2}{3}\rho = \frac{\kappa^2}{3}\left(\frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{2} + \frac{\lambda}{n}|\phi|^n\right), \tag{3.61}$$

the condition for ϕ to oscillate, $|H\dot{\phi}| \ll \lambda|\phi|^{n-1}$ is written as

$$\kappa|\phi| \ll 1. \tag{3.62}$$

Under this condition, from

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \phi\ddot{\phi} + 3H\phi\dot{\phi} + \lambda|\phi|^n \\ &= \left(\phi\dot{\phi} + \frac{3}{2}H\phi^2\right)^{\cdot} - \left(1 - \frac{3}{4}\kappa^2\phi^2\right)\dot{\phi}^2 + nU(\phi), \end{aligned} \tag{3.63}$$

we obtain the cosmic virial theorem

$$< U(\phi) >= \frac{1}{n} < \dot{\phi}^2 > \left[1 + \mathrm{O}\left(\epsilon^2\right) + \mathrm{O}(HT)\right], \tag{3.64}$$

where $< Q >$ denote the time average of Q over the cosmic expansion time scale, T is the period of oscillation and

$$\epsilon := \kappa < \phi^2 >^{1/2} \tag{3.65}$$

which is of the same order as ϵ introduced in the previous subsection for $U = m^2\phi^2/2$.

From this virial theorem $< \rho >$ is expressed in terms of $< \dot{\phi}^2 >$ as

$$< \rho >= \frac{n+2}{2n} < \dot{\phi}^2 > \left[1 + \mathrm{O}\left(\epsilon^2\right) + \mathrm{O}(HT)\right]. \tag{3.66}$$

Hence from the energy equation

$$\dot{\rho} = -3H\dot{\phi}^2, \tag{3.67}$$

we obtain the following evolution equation for $< \rho >$:

$$< \rho >^{\cdot} = -\frac{6n}{n+2}H < \rho > \left[1 + \mathrm{O}\left(\epsilon^2\right) + \mathrm{O}(HT)\right]. \tag{3.68}$$

Solving this equation, we obtain

$$< \rho > \propto a^{-\frac{6n}{n+1}}, \tag{3.69}$$

$$a \propto t^{\frac{n+2}{3n}}, \tag{3.70}$$

$$< \phi^2 > \propto a^{-\frac{12}{n+2}}. \tag{3.71}$$

To be precise, these equations hold with the accuracy $\mathrm{O}(\epsilon)$.

Using these equations we can determine the behavior of the perturbations by the same method as in the previous subsection. First, since the fundamental solutions V_1 and V_2 for $k = 0$ are bounded as

$$|V_1| \leq \frac{\sqrt{6}}{\kappa}, \tag{3.72}$$

$$|V_2| = \mathrm{O}\Big(\frac{\kappa\epsilon}{Ha^3}\Big) \propto t^{\frac{-4}{n}}, \tag{3.73}$$

the integrals of aV_jV_k are calculated as

$$\int_{t_0} aV_1^2 dt = \frac{1}{\kappa^2}\frac{9n^2}{(n+2)(2n+1)}(at - a_0t_0)\left[1 + \mathrm{O}(\epsilon_0)\right], \tag{3.74}$$

$$\int_{t_0} a|V_1V_2| dt = \mathrm{O}\Big(\frac{\epsilon}{a^2H^2}\Big), \tag{3.75}$$

$$\int_{t_0} aV_2^2 dt = \mathrm{O}\left(\frac{\kappa^2\epsilon^2}{a^5H^3}\right). \tag{3.76}$$

Hence the deviation of Y_j from V_j is estimated as

$$|Y_1 - V_1| = \mathrm{O}\Big(\frac{1}{\kappa}\frac{\epsilon}{l^2}\Big) = \mathrm{O}(V_1)\,\mathrm{O}\Big(\frac{\epsilon}{l^2}\Big), \tag{3.77}$$

$$|Y_2 - V_2| = \mathrm{O}\left(\frac{\kappa}{a^3H}\frac{\epsilon^2}{l^2}\right) = \mathrm{O}(V_2)\,\mathrm{O}\Big(\frac{\epsilon}{l^2}\Big). \tag{3.78}$$

From this estimate the behavior of Φ_j are determined as

$$\Phi_1 = -\frac{3n}{2(2n+1)}\left[1 - \frac{a_0t_0}{at} + \mathrm{O}(\epsilon_0) + \mathrm{O}\Big(\frac{\epsilon}{l^2}\Big)\right], \tag{3.79}$$

$$\Phi_2 = \frac{\kappa^2 H}{2ak^2}\left[1 + \mathrm{O}\Big(\frac{\epsilon}{l^2}\Big)\right] \propto t^{-\frac{2(2n+1)}{3n}}. \tag{3.80}$$

Hence Φ_2 gives a decay mode while Φ_1 approaches a constant for $t \gg t_0$, as in the harmonic case.

In the situation considered at the end of the previous subsection these estimates lead to the same conclusion. In fact for the solution Y satisfying the initial condition (3.49), A and B are given by

$$A = -Z_0\left[1 + \mathrm{O}\Big(\frac{\epsilon_0}{l_0^2}\Big)\right], \tag{3.81}$$

$$B = \mathrm{O}\left(\frac{a_0^3H_0}{\kappa^2 l_0^2}\right)Z_0. \tag{3.82}$$

Hence we obtain

$$\Phi(t) = \frac{3n}{2(2n+1)}Z_0\left[1 - \frac{a_0t_0}{at} + \mathrm{O}(\epsilon_0) + \mathrm{O}\Big(\frac{\epsilon}{l^2}\Big) + \mathrm{O}\Big(\frac{\epsilon_0}{l_0^2}\Big)\right], \tag{3.83}$$

$$Z(t) = Z_0\left[1 + \mathrm{O}\Big(\frac{\epsilon_0}{l_0^2}\Big) + \mathrm{O}\Big(\frac{\epsilon}{l^2}\Big) + \mathrm{O}\left(\frac{H_0}{H^2(t-\tau_j)}\frac{\epsilon^2}{l_0^2}\right)\right], \tag{3.84}$$

for $t_j < t < t_{j+1}$. Thus $Z(t)$ coincides with Z_0 with the accuracy $\mathrm{O}(\sqrt{\epsilon}/l)$ except for tiny periods $H|t-\tau_j| < \mathrm{O}(\sqrt{\epsilon}/l)$.

§4 Comparison with the system obtained by WKB approximation

In this section, after showing that when averaged over a spacetime region of the Hubble radius scale the behavior of the spacetime structure and the energy-momentum tensor of a nearly homogeneous oscillating scalar field on an expanding universe is well described by a perfect fluid, we compare the behavior of the curvature perturbation and the Bardeen parameter of that fluid system with those considered in the previous section.

In order to find the averaged behavior of the energy-momentum tensor of a scalar field, let us extend the cosmic virial theorem for an exactly homogeneous scalar field derived in §3.2 to a nearly homogeneous case. The argument is quite similar to that there. First we multiply the field equation

$$\Box\phi - U_\phi = 0, \tag{4.1}$$

by $\sqrt{-g}\phi$ to get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sqrt{-g}\phi\Box\phi - \sqrt{-g}\phi U_\phi \\ &= \partial_\mu(\sqrt{-g}\phi\nabla^\mu\phi) - \sqrt{-g}\left((\nabla\phi)^2 + \phi U_\phi\right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

By integrating this equation over a spacetime region of a proper size L, we obtain

$$< \sqrt{-g}(\nabla\phi)^2 > + < \sqrt{-g}\phi U_\phi >= \mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{L} < \sqrt{-g}|\phi||\nabla\phi| >\right). \tag{4.3}$$

Hence if the parameter ϵ defined by

$$\epsilon := \frac{1}{L}\frac{< \sqrt{-g}|\phi||\nabla\phi| >}{< \sqrt{-g}(\nabla\phi)^2 >} \tag{4.4}$$

is much smaller than unity, we obtain the following relativistic virial theorem for the potential $U = \lambda|\phi|^n/n$:

$$< \sqrt{-g}U >= -\frac{1}{n} < \sqrt{-g}(\nabla\phi)^2 > [1 + \mathrm{O}(\epsilon)]. \tag{4.5}$$

Note that ϵ is of the same order as that in the previous section if L is taken to be $1/H$.

Applying this virial theorem to the energy-momentum tensor of the scalar field,

$$T^\mu_\nu = \nabla^\mu\phi\nabla_\nu\phi - \delta^\mu_\nu\left(\frac{1}{2}(\nabla\phi)^2 + U\right), \tag{4.6}$$

we obtain

$$< \sqrt{-g}T^\mu_\nu >=< \sqrt{-g}g^{\mu\lambda}\partial_\lambda\phi\partial_\nu\phi > + \frac{n-2}{2n}\delta^\mu_\nu < \sqrt{-g}(\nabla\phi)^2 > [1 + \mathrm{O}(\epsilon)]. \tag{4.7}$$

If the scalar field and the spacetime is nearly spatially homogeneous, we can neglect the correlation among $g_{\mu\nu}$ and ϕ up to the linear order of perturbation:

$$< \sqrt{-g}g^{\mu\lambda}\partial_\lambda\phi\partial_\nu\phi > \simeq \sqrt{-g}g^{\mu\lambda} < \partial_\lambda\phi\partial_\nu\phi >, \tag{4.8}$$

$$< \sqrt{-g}T^\mu_\nu > \simeq \sqrt{-g} < T^\mu_\nu >, \tag{4.9}$$

where we have written $< g_{\mu\nu} >$ simply as $g_{\mu\nu}$. In this approximation the spacetime average of T^μ_ν is given by

$$< T^\mu_\nu > = < \nabla^\mu\phi\nabla_\nu\phi > + \frac{n-2}{2n}\delta^\mu_\nu < (\nabla\phi)^2 > . \tag{4.10}$$

When the scalar field oscillates rapidly enough and the spacetime is nearly flat on the time scale of oscillation, it can be assumed that ϕ is well approximated by the WKB form

$$\phi = F(S, x), \tag{4.11}$$

where S is a rapidly oscillating phase, and ∇F(the partial derivative of F with respect to the argument x) is much smaller than the norm of the vector $\nabla\phi$:

$$\epsilon^2 = \mathrm{O}\left(\frac{< (\nabla F)^2 >}{< (\nabla\phi)^2 >}\right) = \mathrm{O}\left(\frac{< (\nabla F)^2 >}{< |\partial_S F|^2 (\nabla S)^2 >}\right) \ll 1. \tag{4.12}$$

In this situation the correlation between $(\partial_S F)^2$ and $\partial_\mu S$ is small:

$$< (\partial_S F)^2 \partial_\mu S \partial_\nu S > = < (\partial_S F)^2 > \partial_\mu < S > \partial_\nu < S > + \mathrm{O}\Big(\epsilon < (\nabla\phi)^2 >\Big). \tag{4.13}$$

Hence if we introduce two scalar functions ρ and P, and a time-like unit vector U^μ by

$$(\rho + P)U_\mu U_\nu := < (\partial_S F)^2 > \partial_\mu < S > \partial_\nu < S >, \tag{4.14}$$

$$P := \frac{n-2}{2n} < (\nabla\phi)^2 >, \tag{4.15}$$

the above equation for $< T^\mu_\nu >$ is written as

$$< T^\mu_\nu > \simeq (\rho + P)U^\mu U_\nu + \delta^\mu_\nu P, \tag{4.16}$$

$$P = w\rho; \quad w = \frac{n-2}{n+2}. \tag{4.17}$$

Hence the averaged dynamics of the scalar field is described by a perfect fluid. In particular when the geometry and the field is spatially homogeneous, the averaged energy density and the scale factor behave as

$$\rho \propto a^{-3(1+w)} \propto \frac{1}{t^2}, \tag{4.18}$$

$$H \propto a^{-\frac{3}{2}(1+w)} \propto \frac{1}{t}, \tag{4.19}$$

$$a \propto t^{\frac{2}{3(1+w)}}. \tag{4.20}$$

This behavior coincides with those obtained in the previous section.

Next let us examine the behavior of perturbations of this fluid system and compare it with that obtained in the previous section. For scalar adiabatic perturbations for the fluid with $P = w\rho$, their evolution equations are expressed in terms of the curvature perturbation Φ and the Bardeen parameter Z as[7]

$$D\Phi + \frac{5+3w}{2}\Phi = \frac{3}{2}(1+w)Z, \tag{4.21}$$

$$DZ = -\frac{2}{3l^2}\frac{w}{1+w}\Phi, \tag{4.22}$$

where D is the differential operator

$$D := a\frac{d}{da}. \tag{4.23}$$

By noting the time-dependence of l^2 and a^3H obtained from Eq.(4.19),

$$\frac{1}{l^2} \propto a^{1+3w}, \qquad a^3H \propto a^{\frac{3}{2}(1-w)}, \tag{4.24}$$

this evolution equation leads to the following 2nd-order differential equation for Z:

$$D(a^3HDZ) = -w\frac{a^3H}{l^2}Z. \tag{4.25}$$

By the Green function method this equation is converted into the integral equation

$$Z = A + \frac{B}{a^3H} - \frac{2w}{3(1-w)}\int_{a_0}\frac{Z}{l^2}\frac{da}{a} + \frac{2w}{3(1-w)}\frac{1}{a^3H}\int_{a_0}\frac{a^3H}{l^2}Z\frac{da}{a}. \tag{4.26}$$

From this Φ is expresses as

$$\Phi = \frac{9(1-w^2)}{4w}\frac{l^2}{a^3H}\left[B + \frac{2w}{3(1-w)}\int_{a_0}\frac{a^3H}{l^2}Z\frac{da}{a}\right]. \tag{4.27}$$

Let us denote the solutions to this integral equation as

$$\Phi = A\Phi_1 + B\Phi_2, \tag{4.28}$$

$$Z = AZ_1 + BZ_2. \tag{4.29}$$

Then by iteration the fundamental solutions (Φ_j, Z_j) are estimated as

$$Z_1 \simeq 1 - \frac{2w}{3(1-w)(1+3w)}\left(\frac{1}{l^2} - \frac{1}{l_0^2}\right) + \frac{4w}{3(1-w)(5+3w}\frac{1}{l^2}\left(1 - \frac{a_0t_0}{at}\right), \tag{4.30}$$

$$\Phi_1 = \frac{3(1+w)}{5+3w}\left[1 - \frac{a_0t_0}{aH} + \mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{l^2}\right)\right], \tag{4.31}$$

$$Z_2 \simeq \frac{1}{a^3H}\left[1 - \frac{4w}{3(1-w)(9w-1)}\frac{1}{l^2} + \frac{2w}{3(1-w)(1+3w)}\left(\frac{1}{l^2} - \frac{1}{l_0^2}\right)\right] + \frac{4w}{3(1-w)(9w-1)}\frac{1}{l_0^2a_0^3H_0}, \tag{4.32}$$

$$\Phi_2 = \frac{9(1-w^2)}{4w}\frac{l^2}{a^3H}\left[1 + \mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{l^2}\right) + \mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{l_0^2}\right)\right]. \tag{4.33}$$

These expressions show that Φ_j for the fluid system with $w = (n-2)/(n+2)$ behaves exactly in the same manner as those obtained in the previous section, Eqs.(3.47)-(3.48), apart from a normalization constant.

A similar conclusion can be obtained for the behavior of the Bardeen parameter. To see this, let us consider the solution with the initial condition

$$\Phi(t_0) = 0, \quad Z(t_0) = Z_0. \tag{4.34}$$

Then, since in the present case $\Phi_(t_0) = 0$ and $\Phi_2(t_0) \neq 0$, the corresponding solution is proportional to (Φ_1, Z_1). Hence it is given by

$$\Phi(t) = \frac{3n}{2(2n+1)} Z_0 \left[1 - \frac{a_0 t_0}{at} + \mathrm{O}\Big(\frac{1}{l^2}\Big)\right], \tag{4.35}$$

$$Z(t) = Z_0 \left[1 + \mathrm{O}\Big(\frac{1}{l^2}\Big)\right]. \tag{4.36}$$

These expressions coincide with Eqs.(3.83)-(3.84) in the leading order including the coefficients, though the conservation of the Bardeen parameter holds better in the exact treatment done in the previous section than that in the WKB fluid approximation.

§5 Summary and discussion

In this paper we have analyzed the behavior of scalar perturbations on superhorizon scales in a stage dominated by a rapidly oscillating scalar field ϕ and have shown that the Bardeen parameter stays constant in a good accuracy expect for a sequence of negligibly short intervals around the zero points of $\dot{\phi}$. We have further shown that the scalar field system reduces to a perfect fluid system by a spacetime averaging when the WKB approximation is good, and that the behavior of perturbations for that perfect fluid system coincides in a good accuracy with that of the original system outside the above sequence of time intervals.

In realistic situations in which radiation is produced by the decay of the scalar field (inflaton), the divergence at around $t = \tau_n$ is replaced by a sequence of narrow peaks and their height decreases as the energy density of radiation increases. Hence the above result practically establishes the conservation of the Bardeen parameter during the reheating phase dominated by the scalar field, provided that the energy transfer from the inflaton to radiation does not affect its behavior.

Further the above result shows that we can study the evolution of superhorizon perturbations during the reheating phase of inflation with a good accuracy by replacing the scalar field by a perfect fluid obtained by the WKB approximation and the spacetime averaging. This provides a generalization to relativistic superhorizon perturbations of the result obtained by Nambu and Sasaki in the Newtonian approximation[12], and will significantly simplify the analysis of the evolution of perturbations during reheating. The effect of the energy transfer on perturbations during reheating will be discussed utilizing this simplification in a next paper.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank A. Taruya for valuable discussions. This work is partly supported by the Grant-In-Aid for Scientific Research of the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture of Japan(40161947).

References

[1] Hawking, S.W., Phys. Lett. B **115**, 295–297 (1982).

[2] Guth, A.H. and Pi, S.-Y., Phys. Rev. Lett. **49**, 1110–1113 (1982).

[3] Starobinsky, A.A., Phys. Lett. B **117**, 175 (1982).

[4] Bardeen, J.M. , Steinhardt, P.J. , and Turner, M.S., Phys. Rev. D **28**, 679–693 (1983).

[5] Friemann, J.A. and Turner, M.S., Phys. Rev. D **30**, 265–271 (1984).

[6] Brandenberger, R. and Kahn, R., Phys. Rev. D **29**, 2172–2190 (1984).

[7] Kodama, H. and Sasaki, M., Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **78**, 1–166 (1984).

[8] Mukhanov, V.F., Sov. Phys.—JETP **68**, 1297–1302 (1988).

[9] Bardeen, J.M., Phys. Rev. D **22**, 1882 (1980).

[10] Makino, N. and Sasaki, M., Prog. Theor. Phys. **86**, 103–118 (1991).

[11] Shatanov, Y. , Traschen, , and Brandenberger,, Phys. Rev. D **51**, 5438–5455 (1995).

[12] Nambu, Y. and Sasaki, M., Phys. Rev. D **42**, 3918–3924 (1990).